### **FACT SHEET – Oregon and Washington CZARA Needs**

**QUESTION:** When will EPA make final decisions on Oregon and Washington's Coastal Nonpoint Programs under the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZARA) program?

### **ANSWER:**

• Both WA and OR have made major progress towards development of their coastal nonpoint programs. As described below there remains need for further action in a few areas in each state.

## Non-Responsive

• On December 20, 2013, EPA and NOAA jointly issued an intent to determine that Oregon has failed to submit an approvable Coastal Nonpoint Program, and inviting public comment in the Federal Register. NOAA and EPA committed in a settlement agreement with Northwest Environmental Advocates to make a final decision on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program by May 15, 2014. To address the unmet conditions identified the Federal Register notice, Oregon needs to adopt more protective forestry practices, improve its management measures to addresssediment runoff from new development, and enhance its practices to ensure on-site disposal systems are maintained properly. EPA and NOAA are working with Oregon towards these improvements, though it does not currently appear that the State will meet each of the conditions by May 15, 2014. EPA and NOAA will be making some discussions about timing for final action before then.. BACKGROUND

# Non-Responsive

•	The timing for EPA and NOAA decision-making on the	ne Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program					
	is addressed in the terms of the settlement agreement.	EPA and NOAA committed to make	a				
	final decision by May 15, 2014,	Ex. 5 - Deliberative					
	Ex. 5 - Deliberative						
	Ex. 5 - Deliberative If Oregon does not make satisfa	actory improvements to its forestry					
	practices and the new development and on-site disposa	al management measures, and EPA and	d				
	NOAA make final determinations that Oregon failed to	o submit an approvable program, the					

Page #

Date

Names & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet agencies would be obliged to withhold federal grant funds under CWA section 319 and CZMA section 306, respectively. The total amount of funds withheld could range between \$900,000 to approximately \$1.2 million a year. EPA has devoted about 2.5 FTE to this work and will need to do so for the remainder of the year.

• <u>Congressional Inquiries.</u> The Oregon Congressional delegation has expressed interest in this Oregon CZARA issue. NOAA and EPA provided a briefing to the delegation.

### RESOURCES

(Dollars in Millions):

Approp.	FY 2013 Enacted		FY 2014 Enacted		FY 2014 Pres Bud	
	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE
EPM	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0
S&T						
SF						
Total	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0

ED465-000018171

### FACT SHEET - Oregon and Washington CZARA Needs

**QUESTION:** When will EPA make final decisions on Oregon and Washington's Coastal Nonpoint Programs under the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZARA) program?

### ANSWER:

 Both WA and OR have made major progress towards development of their coastal nonpoint programs. As described below there remains need for further action in a few areas in each state.

### Non-Responsive

- On December 20, 2013, EPA and NOAA jointly issued an intent to disapprovedetermine that Oregon's has failed to submit an approvable Coastal Nonpoint Program, and inviting public comment in the Federal Register. NOAA and EPA are under committed in a settlement agreement obligation with Northwest Environmental Advocates to make a final decision on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program by May 15, 2014. To reverseaddress the unmet conditions identified the Federal Register noticeproposed disapproval, Oregon needs to adopt more protective forestry practices, improve its management measures to addressmanagement sediment runoff from new development, and adopt betterenhance its practices to ensure on-site disposal systems are maintained properly. EPA and NOAA are working with Oregon towards these improvements, though it does not currently appear that the State will meet each of the conditions by May 15, 2014. EPA and NOAA will be making some discussions about timing for final action before then. If significant progress is made, EPA and NOAA may seek a delay for our final action.
- BACKGROUND

### Non-Responsive

• <u>The timing for EPA</u> and NOAA decision<u>-making</u> on the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program is governed by addressed in the terms of the settlement agreement. EPA and NOAA are

Page #

Name & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet Date

Formatted: Left

Comment [LH1]: Inadvisable to speculate on

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Tab after: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Left, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

ED465-000018171 EPA-6822\_039132

### obliged committed to make a final decision by May 15, 2014, &

### Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Formatted: Font: Bold

### Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

If Oregon does not make the

necessarysatisfactory improvements to its forestry practices and the new development and on-site disposal management measures, and EPA and NOAA make final determinations that Oregon failed to submit an approvable program, the agencies would will be obliged to disapprove withhold federal grant funds under CWA section 319 and CZMA section 306, respectively Oregon's program. Disapproval means that EPA and NOAA will be required to withhold grant dollars. The total amount of funds withheld could range from between \$900,000 to approximately \$1.2 million a year. EPA has devoted about 2.5 FTE to this work and will need to do so for the remainder of the year.

• <u>Congressional Inquiries.</u> The Oregon Congressional delegation has expressed interest in this Oregon CZARA issue. NOAA and EPA provided a briefing to the delegation.

### **RESOURCES**

(Dollars in Millions):

Approp.	FY 2013 Enacted		FY 2014 Enacted		FY 2014 Pres Bud	
	\$	FTE	\$	FTE	\$	FTE
EPM	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0
S&T						
SF						
Total	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0	\$0.0M	3.0

Page #

Names & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet Date